

But for low pressure field was assumed:  $U \cong E_r/B_z$ ; so Eq. (10) becomes:

$$U/2 + \frac{j_{er}E_r}{m_i U (\delta \dot{n}/\delta z)} = \epsilon_{di}/(m_i U) \quad (11)$$

$$U^2 = 2 \left[ \epsilon_{di}/m_i - \frac{j_{er}E_r}{m_i (\delta \dot{n}/\delta z)} \right]$$

The expression (11) for ion velocity  $U$  differs from the corresponding expression obtained by Patrick and Schneiderman<sup>1</sup> for the term  $-j_{er}E_r/[m_i(\delta \dot{n}/\delta z)]$ . The radial component of the current density  $j_{er}$  due to electron motion [Eq. (9)] is strongly affected by the orthogonal magnetic field and its contribution to the total current  $j_r$  can be less than the ion radial current  $j_{ir}$ . From the data reported by Fahleson<sup>3</sup> on the existence of a critical velocity  $v_c$  of ions, and following the calculations performed by Alfvén,<sup>2</sup> a difference of some electron volts is found between the measured and the calculated ion energy. This difference shows that the measured value of  $v_c$  is less than the calculated value, taking into account the total current density in both the momentum and the energy equations. So could be justified the existence of the term  $-j_{er}E_r/[m_i(\delta \dot{n}/\delta z)]$  in Eq. (11) obtained with ion current in the momentum equation (4).

#### References

- <sup>1</sup> Patrick, R. M. and Schneiderman, A. M., "Performance characteristics of a magnetic annular arc," *AIAA J.* **4**, 283-290 (1966).
- <sup>2</sup> Alfvén, H., "Collision between a nonionized gas and a magnetized plasma," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **32**, 710-713 (1960).
- <sup>3</sup> Fahleson, U. V., "Experiments with plasma moving through neutral gas," *Phys. Fluids* **4**, 123-127 (1961).
- <sup>4</sup> Cowling, T. G., *Magnetohydrodynamics* (Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1957), Chap. VI, pp. 105-109.
- <sup>5</sup> Brunner, M. J., "The effects of hall and ion slip on the electrical conductivity for magnetohydrodynamic re-entry vehicle application," *J. Heat Trans.*, 177-184 (1962).

## Reply by Authors to R. Giovanelli

R. PATRICK\* AND A. SCHNEIDERMAN†

Avco Everett Research Laboratory, Everett, Mass.

THE argument presented by the authors was meant only to illustrate the kinds of arguments that are used in discussing the critical velocity, and was intended only to make plausible the appearance of a critical velocity and indicate the relevance of the original work on this subject by Alfvén and others. The subject of the critical velocity is by no means closed, and a large literature<sup>1-22</sup> has evolved, based upon either the macroscopic balances of the kind used by Petschek,<sup>1</sup> or more microscopic effects involving the electron energy balance as used by Drobyshevsky.<sup>2</sup> The approach presented by the authors has been further amplified by Lin.<sup>3</sup> However, the basic assumption of this approach is that the coupling to the neutrals is small and neutral effects do not play an important role in the ionized components, energy, and momentum balance. This assumption is not agreed to by others, including Lehnert<sup>4</sup> and Block.<sup>5</sup> Lin shows that acceptance of this assumption implies that the ions, because of their larger mass, must take up the momentum caused by the  $j \times B$  forces, although the electrons can absorb some of the energy. This leads to the use of

the total current in the ion momentum equation but with an electron energy term included in the ion energy equation.

It is not clear how this work relates to the effect pointed out in the aforementioned technical comment. However, this approach in general is valid only for weak coupling between the ions and the neutrals  $\omega_i \tau_{in} \gg 1$ , in which case the electron current is negligible [see previously mentioned Eq. (9)], and the change in the critical velocity pointed out in the comment goes to zero.

Because the basic assumptions on which the whole approach is based are subject to question and because granted that the assumptions are correct, the change in critical velocity vanishes, the authors believe that the work in this note is not significant to the problem of the critical velocity.

#### References

- <sup>1</sup> Alfvén, H., "Collision between a non-ionized gas and a magnetized plasma," *Rev. Modern Phys.* **32**, 710 (1960).
- <sup>2</sup> Drobyshevsky, E. M., "The volt-ampere characteristics of a homopolar cell," *Soviet Physics-Technical Physics* **8**, 903 (1964), translated from *Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki* **33**, 1210 (1963).
- <sup>3</sup> Lin, S. C., "On the limiting velocity for a rotating plasma," *Phys. Fluids* **4**, 1277 (1961).
- <sup>4</sup> Lehnert, B., "Ionization process of a plasma," *Phys. Fluid* **9**, 774 (1966).
- <sup>5</sup> Block, L., "Critical velocity of partly ionized gases," presented to American Physical Society, San Diego, Calif. (November 1963).
- <sup>6</sup> Powers, W. E. and Patrick, R. M., "Magnetic annular arc," *Phys. Fluids* **5**, 1196 (1962).
- <sup>7</sup> Chubb, D. L. and Seikel, G. R., "Basic studies of a low density Hall current ion accelerator," NASA TN D-3250.
- <sup>8</sup> Bowditch, D. N., "Investigation of the discharge and exhaust beam of a small arc plasma thruster," NASA TM X-52175.
- <sup>9</sup> Burlock, J., Brockman, P., Hess, R. V., and Brooks, D. R., "Measurement of velocities and acceleration mechanism for coaxial Hall accelerators," *AIAA Paper* 66-196 (1966); also *AIAA J.* **5**, 558-561 (1967).
- <sup>10</sup> Drobyshevsky, E. M. and Chekmarev, I. B., "Positive column in a rotating homopolar," *Soviet Phys. Tech. Phys. (USA)* **10**, 1206-1212 (1966).
- <sup>11</sup> Adams, V. W., "The influence of gas streams of magnetic fields on electric discharges," Ministry of Aviation, England, CP 743 (1964).
- <sup>12</sup> Workman, J. B., "Insulator ablation in magnetic piston shock tubes," *Phys. Fluid* **12**, 2162 (1965).
- <sup>13</sup> Wilcox, J. M., Pugh, E. R., Dattner, A., and Eninger, J., "Experimental study of the propagation of an ionizing wave in a coaxial plasma gun," *Phys. Fluids* **7**, S51 (1964).
- <sup>14</sup> Patrick, R. M. and Pugh, E. R., "An experimental and theoretical study of MHD ionizing fronts," Avco Everett Research Lab. Rept. 188 (1964).
- <sup>15</sup> Wilcox, J. M., Baker, W. R., Boley, F. I., Cooper, III, W. S., DeSilva, A. W., and Spillman, G. R., "Devices for generating highly-ionized hydrogen plasma," *J. Nuclear Energy, Part C*, **4**, 337 (1962).
- <sup>16</sup> Brennan, M. H., Brown, I. G., Millar, D. D., and Watson-Munro, C. N., "Some experimental observations of the characteristics of hydromagnetic ionizing fronts," *J. Nuclear Energy Part C*, **5**, 229 (1963).
- <sup>17</sup> Fahleson, U. V., "Experiments with plasma moving through neutral gas," *Phys. Fluids* **4**, 123 (1961).
- <sup>18</sup> Angerth, B., Block, L., Fahleson, U., Soop, K., "Experiments with partly ionized rotating plasmas," *Nuclear Fusion, Supplement, Part I*, 39 (1963).
- <sup>19</sup> Alfvén, H., *On the Origin of the Solar System* (Oxford University Press, London, 1954).
- <sup>20</sup> Alfvén, H. and Wilcox, J. M., "On the origin of the satellites and the planets," *Astrophys. J.* **136**, 1016 (1962).
- <sup>21</sup> Chodura, R., "Zum Zündmechanismus einer Theta-Pinch-Entladung," *Z. Naturforsch.* **19a**, 679 (1964).
- <sup>22</sup> Lehnert, B., *Phys. Fluids* **9**, 774-779 (1966).

Received January 23, 1967.

\* Principal Research Scientist.

† Research Associate. Associate Member AIAA.